FILED

JUL 1 1991

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

In The

## Supreme Court of the United States

October Term, 1990

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Petitioner.

V.

RAY MABUS, et al.,

Respondents.

MRS. JAKE B. AYERS, SR. et al.,

Petitioners,

V.

RAY MABUS, et al.,

Respondents.

On Writ Of Certiorari To The United States Court Of Appeals For The Fifth Circuit

# AMICUS BRIEF OF THE STATE OF TENNESSEE IN SUPPORT OF UNITED STATES

CHARLES W. BURSON\* Attorney General and Reporter State of Tennessee

JOHN KNOX WALKUP Solicitor General

CHRISTINE MODISHER Assistant Attorney General 450 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, Tennessee 37243-0485 (615) 741-4710

\*Counsel of Record

## QUESTION PRESENTED

What is the scope of the duty under the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution of a state which formerly operated a de jure segregated system of higher education?

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Pa	ge
INT	TEREST OF AMICUS STATE	1
STA	TEMENT OF THE CASE	2
SUN	MMARY OF ARGUMENT	2
AR	GUMENT	3
I.	THE SCOPE OF THE DUTY UNDER THE FOUR- TEENTH AMENDMENT TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION OF A STATE WHICH OPERATED A DE JURE SEGREGATED SYSTEM OF HIGHER EDUCATION INCLUDES ELIM- INATING THE PRESENT EFFECTS OF PAST SEGREGATION	3
II.	THE SCOPE OF A STATE'S REMEDIAL DUTY IS DETERMINED BY THE SCOPE OF THE STATE'S CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATION	7
CO	NCLUSION	13

### TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

rage
CASES CITED
Alabama State Teacher Association v. Alabama Public School and College Authority, 289 F.Supp. 784 (M.D. Ala. 1968), aff'd per curiam, 393 U.S. 400 (1969)
Ayers v. Allain, 914 F.2d 676 (5th Cir. 1990)
Bazemore v. Friday, 751 F.2d 662 (4th Cir. 1984)7
Bazemore v. Friday, 478 U.S. 385 (1986)
Board of Education of Oklahoma City Public Schools v. Dowell, 111 S.Ct. 630 (1991)
Brown v. Board of Education, 347 U.S. 483 (1954) 5, 6, 10
Brown v. Board of Education, 349 U.S. 294 (1955)4, 5
Geier v. Alexander, 801 F.2d 799 (6th Cir. 1986) 2, 6, 9, 11
Geier v. Blanton, 427 F.Supp. 644 (M.D. Tenn. 1977) 10
Geier v. University of Tennessee, 597 F.2d 1056 (6th Cir. 1979)
Green v. County School Board, 391 U.S. 430 (1968) 3, 4
Johnson v. Transportation Agency, Santa Clara County, CA, 480 U.S. 616 (1987)
Louisiana v. United States, 380 U.S. 145 (1965)3, 4, 6
Pasadena City Board of Education v. Spangler, 427 U.S. 424 (1976)
Plessy v. Ferguson, 163 U.S. 537 (1896)

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES - Continued	ige
Sanders v. Ellington, 288 F.Supp. 937 (M.D. Tenn. 1968)	10
Swann v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Board of Education, 402 U.S. 1 (1971)	12
Sweatt v. Painter, 339 U.S. 629 (1950)	. 5
U.S. v. Paradise, 480 U.S. 149 (1987)	, 5
United Steelworkers, etc. v. Weber, 443 U.S. 193 (1979)	12

No. 90-1205 and No. 90-6588

In The

## Supreme Court of the United States

October Term, 1990

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Petitioner,

V.

RAY MABUS, et al.,

Respondents.

MRS. JAKE B. AYERS, SR. et al.,

Petitioners,

V.

RAY MABUS, et al.

Respondents.

On Writ Of Certiorari To The United States Court Of Appeals For The Fifth Circuit

AMICUS BRIEF OF THE STATE OF TENNESSEE IN SUPPORT OF UNITED STATES

### INTEREST OF AMICUS STATE

The State of Tennessee, its Governor, the Tennessee Board of Regents, the University of Tennessee, and the Tennessee Higher Education Commission, as defendants in the higher education desegregation case now styled Geier v. McWherter, have definite and substantial interest in the outcome of this case. One basis of the petitions for certiorari by the United States and by petitioners Ayers, et al., is that the Fifth Circuit's ruling in this case is in conflict with the law of the Sixth Circuit as set forth in Geier v. University of Tennessee, 597 F.2d 1056 (6th Cir. 1979) and Geier v. Alexander, 801 F.2d 799 (6th Cir. 1986). The decision in this case will have direct effect on the Tennessee desegregation litigation in Geier.

Tennessee can provide assistance to this Court in this case because of Tennessee's experience in *Geier* over the last twenty-three years.

### STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The amicus state adopts the statement of the case as presented by the United States in its petition for certiorari.

### SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

The duty of a state which formerly operated a segregated system of higher education in violation of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution is to cease the discrimination and to eliminate the present effects of that past discrimination. The scope of a state's remedial duty in this context is determined by the scope of the state's constitutional violation. No set rules are applicable to every situation. However, a system of higher education has fulfilled its constitutional duty when:

- all facilities are equal,
- program duplication has been eliminated at formerly white and black institutions in the same geographical area,
- admission requirements do not perpetuate substandard academic quality at formerly black institutions,
- governing boards are integrated,
- affirmative action in hiring and promotion decisions have produced results and promise to continue to do so.

#### ARGUMENT

I. THE SCOPE OF THE DUTY UNDER THE FOUR-TEENTH AMENDMENT TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION OF A STATE WHICH OPERATED A DE JURE SEGREGATED SYSTEM OF HIGHER EDUCATION INCLUDES ELIMINATING THE PRE-SENT EFFECTS OF PAST SEGREGATION.

It is the position of the amicus state that the duty of a state which formerly operated a de jure segregated system of higher education is not solely controlled by either Green v. County School Board, 391 U.S. 430 (1968) or Bazemore v. Friday, 478 U.S. 385 (1986). Rather, the duty of a state which operated a segregated system of higher education in violation of the Fourteenth Amendment is controlled by Louisiana v. United States, 380 U.S. 145 (1965).

The duty of a court to fashion remedies in race discrimination cases brought under the Fourteenth Amendment was stated in Louisiana v. United States, 380 U.S. at 154. In this voting rights case, this Court stated that "the [district] court has not merely the power but the duty to render a decree which will so far as possible eliminate the discriminatory effects of the past as well as bar like discrimination in the future." This aspect of the decision has been applied in a variety of other Fourteenth Amendment race discrimination cases.

In the context of desegregation of public elementary and secondary education, this Court relied upon Louisiana in Green v. County School Board, 391 U.S. at 438. In Green, the Court noted Louisiana and held that Brown v. Board of Education, 349 U.S. 294 (1955) (Brown II), commanded that "state-compelled dual systems were... clearly charged with the affirmative duty to take whatever steps might be necessary to convert to a unitary system in which racial discrimination would be eliminated root and branch." Green, 391 U.S. at 438. Green held that a "freedom of choice" plan for students was not an end in itself but rather a means to a constitutionally required end of segregation and its effects. Where a "freedom of choice" plan did not produce the desired effects, something more was required. Id., 391 U.S. at 439.

This Court also relied upon Louisiana in U.S. v. Paradise, 480 U.S. 149, 183 (1987) for the proposition that a district court has the duty to render a decree which will eliminate the discriminatory effects of the past. Paradise was a race discrimination in employment case brought under the Fourteenth Amendment. In Paradise, this Court upheld a court-ordered race-conscious affirmative action

plan designed to redress past race discrimination in hiring and promotion by the Alabama Department of Public Safety. In fact, this Court stated: "The government unquestionably has a compelling interest in remedying past and present discrimination by a state actor." Id. at 167.

In the landmark case of Brown v. Board of Education, 347 U.S. 483, 495 (1954) (Brown I) this Court concluded that "in the field of public education the doctrine of 'separate but equal' has no place. Separate educational facilities are inherently unequal." This Court concluded that segregated education deprived black students of equal protection of the laws guaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment. This Court in Brown I referred generally to "public education" and no one can seriously dispute that this doctrine applies with equal force to public post-secondary education.<sup>1</sup>

In Brown II, 349 U.S. at 301, this Court noted that school systems must "effectuate a transition to a racially nondiscriminatory school system." In this context, the Court mentioned the physical condition of school plants, the school transportation system, personnel, and a system of determining admission to public schools on a non-racial basis. Id.

In Brown I this Court discussed several prior cases dealing with segregation in higher education. Specifically it was noted that in Sweart v. Painter, 339 U.S. 629 (1950), the question whether Plessy v. Ferguson, 163 U.S. 537 (1896) should be held inapplicable to public education was expressly reserved.

It would be illogical and contrary to all precedent to say that this same duty does not apply to race discrimination in public higher education. A state which in the past operated a de jure system of higher education has a duty under the Fourteenth Amendment to eliminate the present effects of that past discrimination.

In Geier v. Alexander, 801 F.2d 799 (6th Cir. 1986) the Sixth Circuit upheld a pre-professional program for black undergraduate students. The Court distinguished Bazemore based on the greater value of advanced education as compared with high school clubs. This reasoning of the Sixth Circuit was criticized by the Fifth Circuit in Ayers v. Allain, 914 F.2d 676 (5th Cir. 1990).

It is true that states have great interest in the education of their citizens. See Brown I. However, the source of the duty to remedy present effects of past de jure segregation does not rest on the relative merits of elementary and secondary education compared with post-secondary education. The source of the duty is the Fourteenth Amendment as interpreted in Louisiana and the compelling interest of the state in remedying past and present discrimination by a state actor.

This Court's decision in Bazemore is not inconsistent with this line of cases. In Bazemore, this Court held in a Title VII claim that the state was required to remedy present racially discriminatory salaries resulting from past race discrimination. Bazemore, 478 U.S. at 397. With regard to 4-H and Extension Homemaker Clubs for high school students, the Fourth Circuit had found that there was no evidence that anyone was denied membership or services or provided inferior services because of their

race. Bazemore v. Friday, 751 F.2d 662 (4th Cir. 1984). This Court noted that there was no current violation of the Fourteenth Amendment since prior discriminatory practices had been discontinued and a neutral admissions policy adopted. Bazemore, 478 U.S. at 408. In essence, all discrimination and its effects caused by the state had been remedied. There was no proof of racially-biased services or admission requirements.

Bazemore is factually distinguishable from formerly de jure segregated state systems of higher education. In higher education, the "disease" of de jure segregation can be much more widespread and include inferior facilities, segregated faculties, program duplication, and differing student admission requirements. None of these factors were present in Bazemore. For these reasons, it is the suggestion of the amicus state that it is the duty of a state which operated a de jure system of higher education in violation of the Fourteenth Amendment to cease the discrimination and to remedy the present effects of the past discriminatory actions.

# II. THE SCOPE OF A STATE'S REMEDIAL DUTY IS DETERMINED BY THE SCOPE OF THE STATE'S CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATION.

It is the position of the amicus state that the duty to remedy the present effects of past illegal discrimination is satisfied when the state has placed in compliance with the Fourteenth Amendment the aspects of the system under the state's control. While a determination of compliance will depend on the facts of each case, general guidelines can and should be drawn by this Court.

The state or other body which originally imposed de jure segregation has the affirmative obligation to remedy the effects of that discrimination. Judicial authority enters only when the state or local authority defaults. It is wellestablished with equity cases that the nature of the violation determines the scope of the judicial remedy. Swann v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Board of Education, 402 U.S. 1, 16 (1971). This principle is tied in part "to the necessity of establishing that school authorities have in some manner caused unconstitutional segregation, for 'absent a constitutional violation there would be no basis for judicially ordering [a remedy]." Pasadena City Board of Education v. Spangler, 427 U.S. 424, 434 (1976) citing Swann, 402 U.S. at 28. Thus the scope of the remedy must be determined by looking at the nature of the original constitutional violation by the state.

Various courts have noted the differences between elementary and secondary education on the one hand and higher education on the other. See Geier v. University of Tennessee, 597 F.2d 1056, 1065 (6th Cir. 1979), cert. denied, 444 U.S. 886 (1979); Alabama State Teachers Association v. Alabama Public School and College Authority, 289 F.Supp. 784 (M.D. Ala. 1968), aff'd per curiam, 393 U.S. 400 (1969).

One is free and compulsory and pupils are assigned to particular schools. The other is purely elective, requires the payment of tuition and fees, and permits students to choose a particular school for a variety of reasons. Most elementary and secondary schools are roughly equal in curriculum and facilities whereas individual colleges and universities vary greatly in their offerings and emphasis.

Geier v. University of Tennessee, 597 F.2d at 1065. Generally, faculty members in higher education institutions cannot be reassigned to a different institution. Furthermore, higher education operates on a statewide or regional basis rather than on a local basis. Higher education programs vary from institution to institution and are not uniform.

These differences, however, do not result in a lesser duty to remedy the present effects of past discrimination. Rather, these distinctions mean that different remedies must be utilized. Geier v. Alexander, 801 F.2d at 802. Bodies responsible for public higher education do generally have control over the quality of the facilities, the mission statements of each institution, the programs and degrees offered by each institution, student entrance requirements, and funding. Affirmative action can be utilized by each institution in recruiting and hiring faculty and staff and in student recruitment.

Perhaps the most vivid example of the effectiveness of court-ordered desegregation remedies in the context of higher education can be found in Tennessee. In Tennessee, the present effects of past de jure segregation have been eliminated to a large extent.

Like elementary and secondary education in many states, post-secondary education in Tennessee, Mississippi and elsewhere was once racially segregated by law. In Tennessee, the sole institution for higher learning operated by the state for African-Americans was Tennessee State University (hereinafter "T.S.U.") (formerly Tennessee Agricultural and Industrial State University). Sanders v. Ellington, 288 F.Supp. 937, 940 (M.D. Tenn. 1968). The

"... to train negro students in agriculture, home economics, trades and industry, and to prepare teachers for the elementary and high schools for negroes in the state." Geier v. Blanton, 427 F.Supp. 644, 645 n. 2 (M.D. Tenn. 1977) citing Tenn. Code Ann. §49-3206. Compulsory racial segregation in all Tennessee institutions of higher learning was first mandated by Article II, §12 of the Tennessee Constitution of 1870. In 1901 Tennessee became the first state to enact criminal statutes requiring racial segregation in all public and private colleges. Geier v. University of Tennessee, 597 F.2d at 1058 n. 1. As the district court noted in 1968:

Prior to the Supreme Court decision in Brown v. Board of Education in 1954 the public educational system in Tennessee operated under one-half of the decision of the Supreme court in Plessy v. Ferguson of 1896 . . . The races were certainly kept separate in the schools; but I would assume that no one would argue in good faith that the schools were equal.

Sanders v. Ellington, 288 F.Supp. at 939.

Desegregation of Tennessee higher education has been under the Court's jurisdiction since 1968 – twenty-three years. This litigation resulted in an order to merge Tennessee State University, the formerly black school in Nashville, with University of Tennessee Nashville – a predominantly white school about five miles from T.S.U. Geier v. Blanton, 427 F.Supp. 644 (M.D. Tenn. 1977), aff'd Geier v. University of Tennessee. This was permissible, according to the Sixth Circuit, because the defendants had failed to dismantle the state-wide dual system, the

"heart" of which was an all black T.S.U. Geier v. University of Tennessee, 597 F.2d at 1067.

In 1984 all the parties to the litigation with the exception of the United States entered a "Stipulation of Settlement" which was approved by the Court. The Stipulation is reported in Geier v. Alexander, 593 F.Supp. 1263, 1267 (M.D. Tenn. 1984), aff'd 801 F.2d 799 (6th Cir. 1986). This Stipulation addresses student recruitment and retention, open admissions to two-year institutions, changes in admission and retention requirements for four-year schools and racially identifiable institutional image. In the area of employment, the Stipulation requires that other race employment goals be set for each institution and that a variety of programs be implemented to train, recruit, and employ other race faculty and administrators. The Stipulation also addresses Tennessee State University and the two other four-year institutions in Middle Tennessee. It provides for improvement in the facilities at T.S.U., elimination of program duplication, and enhancement of program offerings at T.S.U.

Significant effort has been made by the State of Tennessee under this stipulation and the results have been dramatic. By fall, 1990 nearly \$39,000,000 had been appropriated to fund an ambitious master plan to improve the facilities at T.S.U. An additional \$9,000,000 was recommended for fiscal year 1991 to fund other desegregation activities under the Stipulation. (1991 Desegregation Progress Report, Table 17, Reproduced as Appendix A). Almost all formerly white colleges and universities employed black faculty and administrators roughly in proportion to their availability. More than half of the

formerly white schools had met their goals in black student enrollment. (1990 Desegregation Progress Report, Table 1, Reproduced as Appendix B). The entire 1990 Desegregation Progress Report has been filed with this Court for its consideration.

In hearing this case, this Court should consider not only defining the duty of public higher education to desegregate but also when that duty has been satisfied. Under this Court's recent decision in *Board of Education of Oklahoma City Public Schools v. Dowell*, 111 S.Ct. 630, 638 (1991), a court's jurisdiction over a desegregation case can be ended when there has been good faith compliance with the desegregation decree and when the vestiges of past discrimination have been eliminated to the extent practicable. Judicial tutelage for the indefinite future is not required.

Although no set rules applicable to every situation can be made, the amicus state urges that the parameters of the legal duty to desegregate be defined.

- The state need only remedy the present effects of past state imposed segregation.
- 2. There is no constitutional right to a particular degree of racial balancing or mixing. *Pasadena*, 427 U.S. at 434; *Swann*, 402 U.S. at 24.
- 3. Affirmative action and race-conscious remedies cannot be used to maintain any particular racial balance. United Steelworkers, etc. v. Weber, 443 U.S. 193, 208 (1979); Johnson v. Transportation Agency, Santa Clara County, CA, 480 U.S. 616, 630 (1987).

- 4. A system of higher education may be declared unitary and a desegregation case dismissed when:
  - all facilities are equal,
  - program duplication has been eliminated at formerly white and black institutions in the same geographical area,
  - admission requirements do not perpetuate substandard academic quality at formerly black institutions,
  - governing boards are integrated,
  - affirmative action in hiring and promotion decisions have produced results and promise to continue to do so.

The position of the amicus state is that a state's duty to desegregate higher education should be determined by the scope of the state's violation. The parameters of that duty under the Fourteenth Amendment and when that duty has been met would help guide state higher education systems and the court.

### CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing authorities and analysis, the amicus state urges this Court to reverse the decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit and hold that a state has a duty under the Fourteenth Amendment to remedy the present effects of past segregation in higher education. The amicus state also urges

this Court to give general guidelines for states and courts as to how this duty might be satisfied.

Respectfully submitted,

CHARLES W. BURSON Attorney General and Reporter

J. KNOX WALKUP Solicitor General

CHRISTINE MODISHER
Assistant Attorney General
450 James Robertson Parkway
Nashville, TN 37243-0485
615-741-4710

### APPENDIX A

### 1990

### **DESEGREGATION PROGRESS REPORT**

### Prepared by

# THE TENNESSEE HIGHER EDUCATION COMMISSION

THE UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE
THE TENNESSEE BOARD OF REGENTS

for the

**DESEGREGATION MONITORING COMMITTEE** 

MAY 3, 1991

[43A] TABLE 17

# COMPARISON OF COMMISSION RECOMMENDATIONS FOR DESEGREGATION ACTIVITIES BY INSTITUTION, FY 88 THROUGH FY 92

	ACTIVITIES BY IN	STITUTION, FY 88	THROUGH FY 92		
APSU	FY 88 \$110,000	FY 89 \$113,000	FY 90 \$116,000	FY 91 \$119,000	FY 92 \$125,000
ETSU	196,000	249,000	453,000	457,000	510,000
MSU	572,000	666,000	687,000	709,000	848,000
MTSU	353,000	368,000	383,000	399,000	420,000
TSU	605,000	617,000	1,207,000	1,261,000	1,469,000
TTU	160,000	149,000	153,000	158,000	164,000
SUBTOTAL TBR					
UNIVERSITIES	\$1,996,000	\$2,162,000	\$2,999,000	\$3,103,000	\$3,536,000
CSTCC	\$71,000	\$74,000	\$77,000	\$80,000	\$84,000
CLSCC	15,000	15,000	15,000	26,000	28,000
COSCC	39,000	36,000	37,000	38,000	39,000
DSCC	25,000	24,000	27,000	26,000	27,000
JSCC	52,000	54,000	56,000	58,000	60,000
MSCC	17,000	17,000	18,000	30,000	32,000
RSCC	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	25,000
SSCC	42,000	43,000	44,000	46,000	47,000
VSCC	21,000	21,000	21,000	21,000	25,000
WSCC	17,000	17,000	17,000	28,000	30,000
SUBTOTAL					
COMM. COLLEGES	\$314,000	\$316,000	\$325,000	\$368,000	\$397,000
NSTCC					\$25,000
NSTI					25,000
PSTCC					25,000
STIM					25,000
					\$100,000
& COMM. COLLEGES					\$497,000
TN BOARD OF					
REGENTS ADMN.	\$230,000	\$230,000	\$230,000	\$230,000	\$230,000
SUBTOTAL TN BOARD					*****
OF REGENTS	\$2,540,000	\$2,708,000	\$3,554,000	\$3,701,000	\$4,263,000
MBW:gm					

[44A] TABLE 17

# COMPARISON OF COMMISSION RECOMMENDATIONS FOR DESEGRATION ACTIVITIES BY INSTITUTION, FY 88 THROUGH FY 92

	FY88	FY 89	FY 90	FY 91	FY 92
UTC UTK UTM	\$237,000 676,000 184,000	\$244,000 685,000 190,000	\$252,000 713,000 195,000	\$263,000 743,000 202,000	\$274,000 807,000 209,000
SUBTOTAL	\$1,097,000	\$1,119,000	\$1,160,000	\$1,208,000	\$1,290,000
UTMphs UTSI UT Agriculture UT Vet. Med. UT IPS, CTAS, MTAS	\$438,000 79,000 44,000 45,000	\$472,000 68,000 44,000 46,000	\$834,000 69,000 44,000 68,000	\$837,000 69,000 37,000 70,000 9,000	\$793,000 70,000 37,000 91,000 9,000
SUBTOTAL	\$606,000	\$630,000	\$1,015,000	\$1,022,000	\$1,000,000
UT ADMIN SUBTOTAL UT	\$ - \$1,703,000	\$ \$1,749,000	\$ \$2,175,000	\$ - \$2,230,000	\$ \$2,290,000
MED/DEN/PHARM COND GRANT PROGRAM	\$746,000	\$871,000	\$ *	\$ *	\$ *
TOTAL	\$4,989,000	\$5,328,000	\$5,729,000	\$5,931,000	\$6,553,000

In addition to the amounts shown above: (1) For FY87, \$5,225,000 was recommended for capital projects at TSU. For FY88, \$746,000 was recommended for Campus Outside Improvements at TSU, \$137,000 was recommended for Outside Lighting Installation at TSU. For FY89, \$15.0 million was recommended for TSU capital outlay projects. For FY 90, \$22.0 million was recommended for TSU capital outlay projects, and for FY 91, an additional \$24.5 million is recommended. For FY 92, the Commission has recommended funding for TSU capital outlay projects totaling \$24.2 million. (2) For FY86, an increase of \$2 million was recommended for TSAC funding and that amount addresses some of the concerns raised in *Geier* provision IIG.

Note: The Regional Minority Teacher Education Program is recommended for third-year funding elsewhere for \$250,000.

<sup>\*</sup> The program was expanded to include pharmacy in FY 88. In FY 90, at ETSU and UTMphs, the Black Conditional Grant Program became a Black Tennessean Scholarship Program. The original program will remain in effect at Meharry, \$310,000, and Vanderbilt, \$20,000, for FY 91 and 92.

APPENDIX B

[1A]
TABLE I
FALL 1988 THROUGH FALL 1990 HEADCOUNT ENROLLMENT AND EMPLOYMENT IN TENNESSEE PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS AND ENROLLMENT AND EMPLOYMENT OBJECTIVES

	CTUDENT LEVELS	FALL 1988					
INSTITUTIONS	& EMPLOYEES	TOTAL ENROLL.	BLACK	WHITE	OTHER	BLACK	
TBR UNIVERSITIES	•	,					
APSU	Undergraduates	4,775	770	3,774	231	16.13%	
	Graduates	393	31	351	11	7.89%	
	Total	5,168	801	4,125	242	15.50%	
	Administrators	28	3	25	0	10.71 %	
	Faculty	200	13	178	9	6.50 %	
	Professionals	78	6	72	0	7.69 %	
ETSU	Undergraduates	9,218	228	8,554	436	2.47%	
	Graduates	1,536	44	1,363	129	2.86%	
	Total	10,754	272	9,917	565	2.53%	
	Administrators	59	4	55	0	6.78%	
	Faculty	429	12	404	13	2.80%	
	Professionals	105	4	101	0	3.81%	
ETSU MED.	Medicine	229	24	188	17	10.48%	
	Administrators Faculty Professionals	10 135 65	1 2 1	8 121 63	1 12 1	10.00% 1.48% 1.54%	
MSU	Undergraduates	16,179	3,004	12,841	334	18.57%	
	Graduates	3,682	423	3,045	214	11.49%	
	Law	409	31	372	6	7.58%	
	Total	20,270	3,458	16,258	554	17.06%	
	Administrators	125	13	111	1	10.40%	
	Faculty	752	42	667	43	5.59%	
	Professionals	317	38	272	7	11.99%	
MTSU	Undergraduates	11,850	1,042	10,568	240	8.79%	
	Graduates	1,315	69	1,202	44	5.25%	
	Total	13,165	1,111	11,770	284	8.44%	
	Administrators	52	3	48	1	5.77%	
	Faculty	489	34	437	18	6.95%	
	Professionals	79	8	71	0	10.13%	

	CTUDENT LEVELS		~			
INSTITUTIONS	& EMPLOYEES	TOTAL ENROLL.	BLACK	WHITE	OTHER	BLACK
TBR UNIVERSITIES				**********		
APSU	Undergraduates Graduates Total		1,066 29 1,095	4,462 361 4,823	363 11 374	18.10% 7.21% 17.40%
	Administrators Faculty Professionals	29 210 86	4 17 7	25 185 79	0 8 0	13.79% 8.10% 8.14%
ETSU	Undergraduates Graduates Total		276 32 308	8,916 1,374 10,290	451 136 587	2.86% 2.08% 2.75%
	Administrators Faculty Professionals	63 436 110	5 14 9	58 410 101	0 12 0	7.94% 3.21% 8.18%
ETSU MED.	Medicine	226	24	186	16	10.62%
	Administrators Faculty Professionals	10 125 58	2 2 1	8 113 57	0 10 0	20.00% 1.60% 1.72%
MSU	Undergraduates Graduates Law Total	16,312 3,862 439 20,613	3,064 397 30 3,491	12,932 3,204 405 16,541	316 261 4 581	18.78% 10.28% 6.83% 16.94%
	Administrators Faculty Professionals	125 770 351	18 43 47	106 673 295	1 54 9	14.40% 5.58% 13.39%
MTSU	Undergraduates Graduates Total		1,170 81 1,251	11,301 1,257 12,558	273 54 327-	9.18% 5.82% 8.85%
	Administrators Faculty Professionals	49 515 107	5 34 10	43 459 97	1 22 0	10.20% 6.60% 9.35%

	STUDENT LEVELS	FALL 1990					OBJECT. 1990-91	LONG-RNGE OBJECT.
INSTITUTIONS	& EMPLOYEES	TOTAL ENROLL.	BLACK	WHITE	OTHER	BLACK	%OTHER RACE	%OTHER RACE SEE ****)
TBR UNIVERSITIES								
APSU	Undergraduates Graduates Total	5,971 376 6,347	1,077 26 1,103	4,616 345 4,961	278 5 283	18.04% 6.91% 17.38%	17.00 6.03	17.00 8.42
	Administrators Faculty Professionals	27 222 85	3 17 12	24 195 73	0 10 0	11.11% 7.66% 14.12%	9.70 5.30 11.60	
ETSU	Undergraduates Graduates Total	9,761 1,597 11,358	307 37 344	8,993 1,409 10,402	461 151 612	3.15% 2.32% 3.03%	3.35 3.10	4.00 3.10
	Administrators Faculty Professionals	58 441 119	3 13 10	55 416 109	0 12 0	5.17% 2.95% 8.40%	4.80 3.00 6.30	
ETSU MED.	Medicine	236	29	194	13	12.29%	8.10	8.10
	Administrators Faculty Professionals	8 90 60	2 3 1	6 80 58	0 7 1	25.00% 3.33% 1.67%	15.00 2.90 6.30	
MSU	Undergraduates Graduates Law Total	16,209 4,049 430 20,688	3,263 475 28 3,766	12,627 3,297 400 16,324	319 277 2 598	20.13% 11.73% 6.51% 18.20%	30.15 20.60 9.00	40.40 26.56 9.60
	Administrators Faculty Professionals	118 775 360	16 45 47	100 678 304	2 52 9	13.56% 5.81% 13.06%	15.90 5.00 12.70	
MTSU	Undergraduates Graduates Total	13,428 1,437 14,865	1,250 70 1,320	11,866 1,299 13,165	312 68 380	9.31% 4.87% 8.88%	9.61 7.50	11.50 9.00
	Administrators Faculty Professionals	51 556 119	8 40 14	42 488 105	1 28 0	15.69% 7.19% 11.76%	11.10 6.90 7.10	

[2A]
TABLE I

FALL 1988 THROUGH FALL 1990 HEADCOUNT ENROLLMENT AND EMPLOYMENT IN TENNESSEE PUBLIC !NSTITUTIONS AND ENROLLMENT AND EMPLOYMENT OBJECTIVES

			FALL 1700				
INSTITUTIONS	STUDENT LEVELS & EMPLOYEES	TOTAL ENROLL.	BLACK	WHITE	OTHER	BLACK	
TSU***	Undergraduates	6,440	4,354	1,851	235	67.619	
	Graduates	913	262	607	44	28.709	
	Total	7,353	4,616	2,458	279	62.789	
	Administrators	34	25	8	1	73.539	
	Faculty	328	158	136	34	48.179	
	Professionals	123	98	24	1	79.679	
TTU	Undergraduates	7,001	223	6,629	149	3.199	
	Graduates	900	34	761	105	3.789	
	Total	7,901	257	7,390	254	3.259	
	Administrators	70	4	63	3	5.719	
	Faculty	330	15	282	33	4.559	
	Professionals	124	13	108	3	10.489	
TOTAL TBR UNIV. (WITH TSU)	Undergraduates Graduates Law Medicine Total	55,463 8,739 409 229 64,840	9,621 863 31 24 10,539	44,217 7,329 372 188 52,106	1,625 547 6 17 2,195	17.359 9.889 7.589 10.489 16.259	
	Administrators	378	53	318	7	14.029	
	Faculty	2,663	276	2,225	162	10.369	
	Professionals	891	168	711	12	18.869	
TOTAL TBR UNIV. (W/O TSU)	Undergraduates Graduates Law Medicine Total	49,023 7,826 409 229 57,487	5,267 601 31 24 5,923	42,366 6,722 372 188 49,648	1,390 503 6 17 1,916	10.749 7.689 7.589 10.489 10.309	
	Administrators Faculty Professionals	344 2,335 768	28 118 70	310 2,089 687	6 128 11	8.14% 5.05% 9.11%	

		ALL 1989	FA		CTUDENT LEVELS			
% BLACK	OTHER	WHITE	BLACK	TOTAL ENROLL.	STUDENT LEVELS & EMPLOYEES	INSTITUTIONS		
68.72%	213	1,802	4,427	6,442	Undergraduates	TSU***		
28.04%	56	606	258	920	Graduates			
63.64%	269	2,408	4,685	7,362	Total			
63.89%	0	13	23	36	Administrators			
50.45%	26	139	168	333	Faculty			
78.99%	1	24	94	119	Professionals			
3.15%	164	6,479	216	6,859	Undergraduates	TTU		
3.07%	102	1,065	37	1,204	Graduates			
3.14%	266	7,544	253	8,063	Total			
6.85%	2	66	5	73	Administrators			
4.65%	23	305	16	344	Faculty			
10.92%	2	104	13	119	Professionals			
17.65%	1,780	45,892	10,219	57,891	Undergraduates	TOTAL TBR		
8.95%	620	7,867	834	9,321	Graduates	UNIV.		
6.83%	4	405	30	439	Law	(WITH TSU)		
10.62%	16	186	24	226	Medicine			
16.36%	2,420	54,350	11,107	67,877	Total			
16.10%	4	319	62	385	Administrators			
10.76%	155	2,284	294	2,733	Faculty			
19.05%	12	757	181	950	Professionals			
11.26%	1,567	44,090	5,792	51,449	Undergraduates	TOTAL TBR		
6.86%	564	7,261	576	8,401	Graduates	UNIV.		
6.83%	4	405	30	439	Law	(W/O TSU)		
10.62%	16	186	24	226	Medicine			
10.61%	2,151	51,942	6,422	60,515	Total			
11.17%	4	306	39	349	Administrators			
5.25%	129	2,145	126	2,400	Faculty			
10.47%	11	733	87	831	Professionals			

	STUDENT LEVELS		FALL 1990				OBJECT. 1990-91	LONG-RNGE OBJECT.
INSTITUTIONS	& EMPLOYEES	TOTAL ENROLL.	BLACK	WHITE	OTHER	% BLACK	%OTHER RACE	%OTHER RACE SEE ****)
TSU***	Undergraduates	6,347	4,277	1,880	190	67.39%	45.00	61.30*
	Graduates Total	1,046 7,393	311 4,588	669 2,549	66 256	29.73% 62.06%	71.44	76.79
	Administrators	35	22	13	0	62.86%	50.80	
	Faculty	337	167	146	24	49.55%	51.00	
	Professionals	. 111	90	21	0	81.08%	39.00	
TTU	Undergraduates	7,150	246	6,734	170	3.44%	6.00	6.80*
	Graduates	984	32	841	111	3.25%	2.55	3.00
	Total	8,134	278	7,575	281	3.42%		
	Administrators	66	6	58	2	9.09%	7.80	
	Faculty	342	16	303	23	4.68%	3.70	
	Professionals	123	13	107	3	10.57%	13.20	
TOTAL TBR	Undergraduates	58,866	10,420	46,716	1,730	17.70%		
UNIV.	Graduates	9,489	951	7,860	678	10.02%		
(WITH TSU)	Law	430	28	400	2	6.51%		
	Medicine	236	29	194	13	12.29%		
	Total	69,021	11,428	55,170	2,423	16.56%		
	Administrators	363	60	298	5	16.53%		
	Faculty	2,763	301	2,306	156	10.89%		
	Professionals	977	187	777	13	19.14%		
TOTAL TBR	Undergraduates	52,519	6,143	44,836	1,540	11.70%		
UNIV.	Graduates	8,443	640	7,191	612	7.58%		
· (W/O TSU)	Law	430	28	400	2	6.51%		
	Medicine	236	29	194	13	12.29%		
	Total	61,628	6,840	52,621	2,167	11.10%		
	Administrators	328	38	285	5	11.59%		
	Faculty	2,426	134	2,160	132	5.52%		
	<b>Professionals</b>	866	97	756	13	11.20%		

[3A] TABLE I FALL 1988 THROUGH FALL 1990 HEADCOUNT ENROLLMENT AND EMPLOYMENT IN TENNESSEE PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS AND ENROLLMENT AND EMPLOYMENT OBJECTIVES

			FALL 1988				
INSTITUTIONS	& EMPLOYEES	TOTAL ENROLL.	BLACK	WHITE	OTHER	BLACK	
TBR COMMUNITY CO	OLLEGES						
CSTCC	Undergraduates	6,391	699	5,606	86	10.94%	
	Administrators Faculty Professionals	8 151 50	1 21 5	7 129 45	0 1 0	12.50% 13.91% 10.00%	
CLSCC	Undergraduates	2,977	150	2,780	47	5.04%	
	Administrators Faculty Professionals	15 67 18	2 4 4	13 61 13	0 2 1	13.33% 5.97% 22.22%	
COSCC	Undergraduates	2,667	218	2,421	28	8.17%	
	Administrators Faculty Professionals	11 66 21	2 7 1	9 58 18	0 1 2	18.18% 10.61% 4.76%	
DSCC	Undergraduates	1,742	209	1,519	14	12.00%	
	Administrators Faculty Professionals	9 43 16	1 7 1	8 36 15	0 0 0	11.11% 16.28% 6.25%	
JSCC	Undergraduates	2,774	355	2,410	9	12.80%	
	Administrators Faculty Professionals	11 74 10	1 7 2	10 67 8	0 0 0	9.09% 9.46% 20.00%	
MSCC	Undergraduates	2,392	129	2,241	22	5.39%	
	Administrators Faculty Professionals	19 50 5	2 3 2	17 47 3	0 0 0	10.53% 6.00% 40.00%	
RSCC	Undergraduates Administrators Faculty Professionals	3,853 6 88 34	108 1 2 5	3,706 5 86 29	39 0 0 0	2.80% 16.67% 2.27% 14.71%	

	CTUDENT LEVELC		FALL 1989					
INSTITUTIONS	& EMPLOYEES	TOTAL ENROLL.	BLACK WHITE		OTHER	BLACK		
BR COMMUNITY CO	OLLEGES		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~			-		
CSTCC	Undergraduates	7,412	829	6,470	113	11.18%		
	Administrators Faculty Professionals	7 136 55	1 22 6	6 113 49	0 1 0	14.29% 16.18% 10.91%		
CLSCC	Undergraduates	3,098	169	2,894	35	5.46%		
	Administrators Faculty Professionals	23 65 21	2 5 4	21 57 16	0 3 1	8.70% 7.69% 19.05%		
COSCC	Undergraduates	3,053	219	2,794	40	7.17%		
	Administrators Faculty Professionals	12 71 24	3 9 2	9 60 21	0 2 1	25.00% 12.68% 8.33%		
DSCC	Undergraduates	1,851	220	1,607	24	11.89%		
	Administrators Faculty Professionals	11 44 16	2 6 3	9 38 13	0 0 0	18.18% 13.64% 18.75%		
JSCC	Undergraduates	3,010	400	2,592	18	13.29%		
	Administrators Faculty Professionals	12 74 10	3 7 1	9 67 9	0 0 0	25.00% 9.46% 10.00%		
MSCC	Undergraduates	2,544	147	2,363	34	5.78%		
	Administrators Faculty Professionals	19 54 12	2 3 2	17 51 10	0 0 0	10.53% 5.56% 16.67%		
RSCC	Undergraduates	4,319	127	4,156	36	2.94%		
	Administrators Faculty Professionals	6 107 39	1 7 5	5 99 34	0 1 0	16.67% 6.54% 12.82%		

	STUDENT LEVELS		FALL 1990				OBJECT. 1990-91	LONG-RNGE OBJECT.
INSTITUTIONS	EMPLOYEES	TOTAL ENROLL.	BLACK	WHITE	OTHER	% BLACK	%OTHER RACE	%OTHER RACE SEE ****)
TBR COMMUNITY	COLLEGES	•••••••			•			
CSTCC	Undergraduates Administrators Faculty Professionals	7,793 7 139 56	843 1 21 6	6,832 6 117 50	118 0 1 0	10.82% 14.29% 15.11% 10.71%	14.00 11.10 16.00 8.00	15.30*
CLSCC	Undergraduates Administrators Faculty Professionals	3,315 22 72 20	148 2 6 4	3,128 20 64 15	39 0 2 1	4.46% 9.09% 8.33% 20.00%	4.40 6.70 5.00 16.70	5.50*
COSCC	Undergraduates Administrators Faculty Professionals	3,402 11 79 22	222 3 10 2	3,133 8 66 19	47 0 3 1	6.53% 27.27% 12.66% 9.09%	5.60 20.00 16.90 13.50	5.60*
DSCC	Undergraduates Administrators Faculty Professionals	1,993 11 45 19	239 2 4 5	1,733 - 9 41 14	21 0 0 0	11.99% 18.18% 8.89% 26.32%	14.90 20.00 15.60 20.00	14.90*
JSCC	Undergraduates Administrators Faculty Professionals	3,252 14 77 13	443 2 8 3	2,784 12 69 10	0 0 0 0	13.62% 14.29% 10.39% 23.08%	16.75 14.30 10.30 23.80	21.00*
MSCC	Undergraduates Administrators Faculty Professionals	2,767 18 50 11	153 2 4 1	2,580 16 54 10	34 0 0 0	5.53% 11.11% 6.90% 9.09%	5.40 8.70 5.50 15.40	5.40*
RSCC	Undergraduates Administrators Faculty Professionals	4,928 5 120 44	141 1 8 5	4,734 4 111 39	53 0 1 0	2.86% 20.00% 6.67% 11.36%	3.80 11.70 6.50 10.30	4.20*

[4A]
TABLE I

FALL 1988 THROUGH FALL 1990 HEADCOUNT ENROLLMENT AND EMPLOYMENT IN TENNESSEE PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS AND ENROLLMENT AND EMPLOYMENT OBJECTIVES

			T.P.	LL 1900			
INSTITUTIONS	& EMPLOYEES	TOTAL ENROLL.	BLACK	WHITE	OTHER	BLACK	
SSCC	Undergraduates	3,822	2,161	1,615	46	56.54%	
	Administrators	25	15	10	0	60.00%	
	Faculty	112	38	70	4	33.93%	
	Professionals	21	8	13	0	38.10%	
VSCC	Undergraduates	3,474	169	3,236	69	4.86%	
	Administrators	9	2	7	0	22.22%	
	Faculty	82	13	69	0	15.85%	
	Professionals	19	3	16	0	15.79%	
WSCC	Undergraduates	3,513	95	3,373	45	2.709	
	Administrators	9	1	8	0	11.119	
	Faculty	83	7	73	3	8.439	
	Professionals	35	5	28	2	14.29%	
TOTAL TBR COMMUNITY	Undergraduates	33,605	4,293	28,907	405	12.779	
COLLEGES	Administrators	122	28	94	0	22.95%	
(WITH SSCC)	Faculty	816	109	696	11	13.369	
	Professionals	229	36	188	5	15.72%	
TOTAL TBR COMMUNITY	Undergraduates	29,783	2,132	27,292	359	7.16%	
COLLEGES	Administrators	97	13	. 84	0	13.40%	
(W/O SSCC)	Faculty	704	71	626	7	10.09%	
	Professionals	208	28	175	5	13.46%	
TBR SYSTEM	Administrators	19	3	16	0	15.79%	
STAFF	Professionals	19	6	12	1	31.58%	

	STUDENT LEVELS					%
INSTITUTIONS	& EMPLOYEES	TOTAL ENROLL.	BLACK	WHITE	OTHER	BLACK
SSCC	Undergraduates	4,216	2,399	1,713	104	56.90%
	Administrators Faculty Professionals	112	15 34 14	12 74 13	0 4 1	55.56% 30.36% 50.00%
VSCC	Undergraduates	3,670	194	3,412	64	5.29%
	Administrators Faculty Professionals	87	2 13 4	6 74 16	0 0 0	25.00% 14.94% 20.00%
WSCC	Undergraduates	4,220	150	4,026	44	3.55%
	Administrators Faculty Professionals	88	1 8 4	8 78 30	0 2 1	11.11% 9.09% 11.43%
TOTAL TBR COMMUNITY	Undergraduates	37,393	4,854	32,027	512	12.98%
COLLEGES (WITH SSCC)	Administrators Faculty Professionals	838	32, 114 45	102 711 211	0 13 4	23.88% 13.60% 17.31%
TOTAL TBR COMMUNITY	Undergraduates	33,177	2,455	30,314	408	7.40%
COLLEGES (W/O SSCC)	Administrators Faculty Professionals	726	17 80 31	90 637 198	0 9 3	15.89% 11.02% 13.36%
TBR SYSTEM STAFF	Administrators Professionals		3 5	18 15	0	14.29% 23.81%

	STUDENT LEVELS		FA	FALL 1990			OBJECT. 1990-91	LONG-RNGE OBJECT.
INSTITUTIONS	& EMPLOYEES	TOTAL ENROLL.	BLACK	WHITE	OTHER	% BLACK	%OTHER RACE	%OTHER RACE SEE ****)
SSCC	Undergraduates	4,763	2,599	2,053	111	54.57%	49.50	59.30*
	Administrators Faculty Professionals	27 104 28	13 31 18	14 68 9	0 5 1	48.15% 29.81% 64.29%	56.40 65.10 58.50	
<b>VSCC</b>	Undergraduates	4,160	236	3869	55	5.67%	6.40	9.10*
	Administrators Faculty Professionals	8 91 23	2 14 5	6 77 18	0 0 0	25.00% 15.38% 21.74%	18.20 15.80 25.00	
WSCC	Undergraduates	4,567	138	4,382	47	3.02%	2.80	2.90*
	Administrators Faculty Professionals	8 96 36	1 10 4	7 84 31	0 2 1	12.50% 10.42% 11.11%	9.00 9.20 10.80	
TOTAL TBR COMMUNITY	Undergraduates	40,940	5,162	35,228	550	12.61%		
COLLEGES (WITH SSCC)	Administrators Faculty Professionals	131 881 272	29 116 53	102 751 215	0 14 4	22.14% 13.17% 19.49%		
TOTAL TBR	Undergraduates	36,177	2,563	33,175	439	7.08%		
COMMUNITY COLLEGES (W/O SSCC)	Administrators Faculty Professionals	104 777 244	16 85 35	88 683 206	0 9 3	15.38% 10.94% 14.34%		
TBR SYSTEM STAFF	Administrators Professionals	20 21	4	16 13	0 2	20.00% 28.57%	13.30 28.50	

[5A]
TABLE I
FALL 1988 THROUGH FALL 1990 HEADCOUNT ENROLLMENT AND EMPLOYMENT IN TENNESSEE PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS AND ENROLLMENT AND EMPLOYMENT OBJECTIVES

	CTUDENT LEVELC	FALL 1988							
INSTITUTIONS	STUDENT LEVELS & EMPLOYEES	TOTAL ENROLL.	BLACK	WHITE	OTHER	BLACK			
UNIVERSITY OF TEN	NESSEE								
UTC	Undergraduates	6,199	689	5,295	215	11.11%			
	Graduates	1,327	81	1,192	54	6.10%			
	Total	7,526	770	6,487	269	10.23%			
	Administrators Faculty Professionals	114 284 101	11 18 16	101 256 82	10 3	9.65% 6.34% 15.84%			
UTK**	Undergraduates Graduates Law Vet. Medicine Total	18,770 5,158 462 178 24,568	863 268 36 2	17,479 4,460 420 176 22,535	428 430 6 0 864	4.60% 5.20% 7.79% 1.12% 4.76%			
	Administrators	324	25	297	2	7.72%			
	Faculty	1,482	50	1,345	87	3.37%			
	Professionals	788	41	688	59	5.20%			
UTM	Undergraduates	4,367	598	3,645	124	13.69%			
	Graduates	286	11	269	6	3.85%			
	Total	4,653	609	3,914	130	13.09%			
	Administrators	64	3	61	0	4.69%			
	Faculty	234	4	216	14	1.71%			
	Professionals	58	7	50	1	12.07%			
UTMHSC	Undergraduates	326	34	286	6	10.43%			
	Graduates	244	25	180	39	10.25%			
	Dentistry	318	15	285	18	4.72%			
	Medicine	608	28	539	41	4.61%			
	Pharmacy	276	12	255	9	4.35%			
	Total	1,772	114	1,545	113	6.43%			
	Administrators	142	7	131	4	4.93%			
	Faculty	756	36	670	50	4.76%			
	Professionals	1,343	118	1,139	86	8.79%			

	STUDENT LEVELS	FALL 1989					
INSTITUTIONS	& EMPLOYEES	TOTAL ENROLL.	BLACK	WHITE	OTHER	BLACK	
UNIVERSITY OF TEN	NESSEE		******			***************************************	
UTC	Undergraduates	6,595	672	5,688	235	10.19%	
	Graduates		53	875	41	5.47%	
	Total		725	6,563	276	9.58%	
	Administrators	114	10	102	2	8.77%	
	Faculty	282	17	254	11	6.03%	
	Professionals	98	15	82	1	15.31%	
UTK**	Undergraduates	19,068	900	17,709	459	4.72%	
	Graduates	5,794	313	4,984	497	5.40%	
	Law	479	39	438	2	8.14%	
	Vet. Medicine	171	4	165	2	2.14%	
	Total	25,512	1,256	23,296	960	4.92%	
	Administrators	335	27	306	2	8.06%	
	Faculty	1,411	56	1,271	84	3.97%	
	Professionals	797	46	682	69	5.77%	
UTM	Undergraduates	4,716	630	3,951	135	13.36%	
	Graduates	392	26	358	8	6.63%	
	Total	5,108	656	4,309	143	12.84%	
	Administrators	60	3	57	0	5.00%	
	Faculty	238	6	221	11	2.52%	
	Professionals	59	10	48	1	16.95%	
UTMHSC	Undergraduates	315	43	263	9	13.65%	
	Graduates	245	19	179	47	7.76%	
	Dentistry	307	12	276	19	3.91%	
	Medicine	599	44	508	47	7.35%	
	Pharmacy	281	16	255	10	5.69%	
	Total	1,747	134	1,481	132	7.67%	
	Administrators	132	5	123	4	3.79%	
	Faculty	772	41	687	44	5.31%	
	Professionals	1,376	127	1,146	103	9.23%	

	STUDENT LEVELS		FA	LL 1990			OBJECT. 1990-91	LONG-RNGE OBJECT.
INSTITUTIONS	& EMPLOYEES	TOTAL ENROLL.	BLACK	WHITE	OTHER	% BLACK	%OTHER RACE	%OTHER RACE SEE ****)
UNIVERSITY OF T	ENNESSEE							
UTC	Undergraduates Graduates Total	6,698 1,027 7,725	677 65 742	5,774 909 6,683	247 53 300	10.11% 6.33% 9.61%	15.00 10.80	16.80 15.80
	Administrators Faculty Professionals	112 285 71	9 18 15	102 255 55	1 12 1	8.04% 6.32% 21.13%	9.30 7.30 15.20	
UTK**	Undergraduates Graduates Law Vet. Medicine Total	19,537 5,882 471 165 26,055	997 302 36 6 1,341	18,035 5,038 431 155 23,659	505 542 4 4 1,055	5.10% 5.13% 7.64% 3.64% 5.15%	7.50 5.10 7.40 4.30	10.50 6.00 7.40 8.70
	Administrators Faculty Professionals	312 1,166 480	27 49 35	285 1,053 431	0 64 14	8.65% 4.20% 7.29%	6.70 4.20 6.50	
UTM	Undergraduates Graduates Total	5,050 313 5,363	747 23 770	4,173 285 4,458	130 5 135	14.79% 7.35% 14.36%	17.00 9.50	18.40 14.70
	Administrators Faculty Professionals	61 238 55	4 9 8	57 216 46	0 13 1	6.56% 3.78% 14.55%	5.10 1.60 9.50	
UTMHSC	Undergraduates Graduates Dentistry Medicine Pharmacy Total	341 264 301 591 288 1,785	45 26 17 52 25 165	288 177 260 496 255 1,476	8 61 24 43 8 144	13.20% 9.85% 5.65% 8.80% 8.68% 9.24%	11.20 8.10 5.90 5.30 7.00	14.20 10.80 8.80 8.90 8.40
	Administrators Faculty Professionals	131 591 534	6 26 81	121 520 404	4 45 49	4.58% 4.40% 15.17%	7.00 3.50 11.90	

[6A]
TABLE I

FALL 1988 THROUGH FALL 1990 HEADCOUNT ENROLLMENT AND EMPLOYMENT IN TENNESSEE PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS AND ENROLLMENT AND EMPLOYMENT OBJECTIVES

	STUDENT LEVELS	NS AND ENROLLMEN		LL 1988		%	
INSTITUTIONS	& EMPLOYEES	TOTAL ENROLL.	BLACK	WHITE	OTHER	BLACK	
UTMCK	Administrators	102	2	100	0	1.969	
	Faculty	111	1	99	11	0.909	
	Professionals	1,276	18	1,235	23	1.419	
INSTIT. OF	Administrators	47	2	45	. 0	4.269	
AGRIC.	Faculty	269	3	263	3	1.129	
	Professionals	553	24	519	10	4.349	
UT-WIDE	Administrators	95	3	92	. 0	3.169	
ADMIN.	Professionals	174	10	164	0	5.759	
TOTAL	Undergraduates	29,662	2,184	26,705	773	7.369	
UT	Ğraduates	7,015	385	6,101	529	5.499	
	Law	462	36	420	6	7.799	
	Dentistry	318	15	285	18	4.729	
	Medicine	608	28	539	41	4.619	
	Pharmacy	276	12	255	9	4.359	
	Vet. Medicine	178	2	176	0	1.129	
	Total	38,519	2,662	34,481	1,376	6.919	
	Administrators	888	53	827	8	5.979	
	Faculty	3,136	112	2,849	175	3.579	
	Professionals	4,293	234	3,877	182	5.45%	
THEC	Administrators	12	2	10	0	16.67%	
STAFF	Professionals	5	0	5	0	0.00%	
GRAND	Undergraduates	118,730	16,098	99,829	2,803	13.56%	
TOTAL	Graduates	15,754	1,248	13,430	1,076	7.929	
(WITH TSU	Law	871	67	792	12	7.699	
& SSCC)	Dentistry	318	15	285	18	4.729	
	Medicine	837	52	727	58	6.219	
	Pharmacy	276	12	255	9	4.35%	
	Vet. Medicine	178	2	176	0	1.12%	
	Total	136,964	17,494	115,494	3,976	12.77%	
	Administrators	1,419	139	1,265	15	9.80%	
	Faculty	6,615	497	5,770	348	7.51%	
	Professionals	5,437	444	4,793	200	8.17%	

FALL 1989

		FALL 1989						
INSTITUTIONS	STUDENT LEVELS & EMPLOYEES	TOTAL ENROLL.	BLACK	WHITE	OTHER	BLACK		
UTMCK	Administrators Faculty Professionals	109 115 1,359	2 1 27	107 104 1,306	0 10 26	1.83% 0.87% 1.99%		
INSTIT. OF AGRIC.	Administrators Faculty Professionals	47 263 565	2 4 26	45 256 528	0 3 11	4.26% 1.52% 4.60%		
UT-WIDE ADMIN.	Administrators Professionals	98 160	4 10	94 150	0	4.08% 6.25%		
TOTAL	Undergraduates Graduates Law Dentistry Medicine Pharmacy Vet. Medicine Total	30,694 7,400 479 307 599 281 171 39,931	2,245 411 39 12 44 16 4 2,771	27,611 6,396 438 276 508 255 165 35,649	838 593 2 19 47 10 2 1,511	7.31% 5.55% 8.14% 3.91% 7.35% 5.69% 2.34% 6.94%		
	Administrators Faculty Professionals	895 3,081 4,414	53 125 261	834 2,793 3,942	8 163 211	5.92% 4.06% 5.91%		
THEC STAFF	Administrators Professionals	12 8	2 2	10 6	0	16.67% 25.00%		
GRAND- TOTAL (WITH TSU & SSCC)	Undergraduates Graduates Law Dentistry Medicine Pharmacy Vet. Medicine Total	125,978 16,721 918 307 825 281 171 145,201	17,318 1,245 69 12 68 16 4 18,732	105,530 14,263 843 276 694 255 165 122,026	3,130 1,213 6 19 63 10 2 4,443	13.75% 7.45% 7.52% 3.91% 8.24% 5.69% 2.34% 12.90%		
	Administrators Faculty Professionals	1,447 6,652 5,653	152 533 494	1,283 5,788 4,931	12 331 228	10.50% 8.01% 8.7 <b>4</b> %		

	STUDENT LEVELS		FA	LL 1990			OBJECT. 1990-91	LONG-RNGE OBJECT.
INSTITUTIONS	& EMPLOYEES	TOTAL ENROLL.	BLACK	WHITE	OTHER	BLACK	%OTHER RACE	%OTHER RACE (SEE ****)
UTMCK	Administrators Faculty Professionals	114 87 1,204	2 1 25	112 76 1,153	0 10 26	1.75% 1.15% 2.08%	2.50 4.30 6.60	
INSTIT. OF AGRIC.	Administrators Faculty Professionals	44 268 541	1 3 24	43 263 509	0 2 8	2.27% 1.12% 4.44%	5.00 3.40 7.40	
UT-WIDE ADMIN.	Administrators Professionals	94 157	5 11	- 89 146	0	5.32% 7.01%	6.40 5.30	
TOTAL	Undergraduates Graduates Law Dentistry Medicine Pharmacy Vet. Medicine Total	31,626 7,486 471 301 591 288 165 40,928	2,466 416 36 17 52 25 - 6 3,018	28,270 6,409 431 260 496 255 155 36,276	890 661 4 24 43 8 4 1,634	7.80% 5.56% 7.64% 5.65% 8.80% 8.68% 3.64% 7.37%		
	Administrators Faculty Professionals	868 2,635 3,042	54 106 199	809 2,383 2,744	5 146 99	6.22% 4.02% 6.54%		
THEC STAFF	Administrators Professionals	11 8	2 2	9	0	18.18% 25.00%	15.80 15.80	
GRAND TOTAL (WITH TSU & SSCC)	Undergraduates Graduates Law Dentistry Medicine Pharmacy Vet. Medicine Total	131,432 16,975 901 301 827 288 165 150,889	18,048 1,367 64 17 81 25 6 19,608	110,214 14,269 831 260 690 255 155 126,674	3,170 1,339 6 24 56 8 4 4,607	13.73% 8.05% 7.10% 5.65% 9.79% 8.68% 3.64% 12.99%		
	Administrators Faculty Professionals	1,393 6,279 4,320	149 523 447	1,234 5,440 3,755	10 316 118	10.70% 8.33% 10.35%		

[7A]
TABLE I

FALL 1988 THROUGH FALL 1990 HEADCOUNT ENROLLMENT AND EMPLOYMENT IN TENNESSEE PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS AND ENROLLMENT AND EMPLOYMENT OBJECTIVES

**FALL 1988** STUDENT LEVELS **INSTITUTIONS** & EMPLOYEES TOTAL ENROLL. **BLACK** WHITE **OTHER** BLACK Undergraduates GRAND 108,468 9,583 96,363 2,522 8.83% TOTAL Graduates 14,841 986 12,823 1,032 6.64% (W/O TSU Law 871 67 792 7.69% 12 & SSCC) Dentistry 15 4.72% 318 285 18 Medicine 837 52 72.7 58 6.21% Pharmacy 276 12 255 4.35% Vet. Medicine 2 176 178 1.12% 0 Total 125,789 10,717 111,421 3,651 8.52% 1,360 1.247 7.28% Administrators 99 14 Faculty 5,564 310 4.87% 6,175 301 **Professionals** 338 5,293 4,756 199 6.39%

	STUDENT LEVELS					01
INSTITUTIONS	& EMPLOYEES	TOTAL ENROLL.	BLACK	WHITE	OTHER	BLACK
GRAND	Undergraduates	115,320	10,492	102,015	2,813	9.10%
TOTAL	Graduates	15,801	987	13,657	1,157	6.25%
(W/O TSU	Law	918	69	843	6	7.52%
& SSCC)	Dentistry	307	12	276	19	3.91%
	Medicine	825	68	694	63	8.24%
	Pharmacy	281	16	255	10	5.69%
	Vet. Medicine	171	4	165	2	2.34%
	Total	133,623	11,648	117,905	4,070	8.72%
	Administrators	1,384	114	1,258	12	8.24%
	Faculty	6,207	331	5,575	301	5.33%
	Professionals	5,506	386	4,894	226	7.01%

STUDENT **FALL 1990** LEVELS

INSTITUTIONS	& EMPLOYEES	TOTAL ENROLL.	BLACK	WHITE	OTHER	% BLACK		
GRAND TOTAL (W/O TSU & SSCC)	Undergraduates Graduates Law Dentistry Medicine Pharmacy Vet. Medicine Total	120,322 15,929 901 301 827 288 165 138,733	11,172 1,056 64 17 81 25 6 12,421	106,281 13,600 831 260 690 255 155 122,072	2,869 1,273 6 24 56 8 4 4,240	9.29% 6.63% 7.10% 5.65% 9.79% 8.68% 3.64% 8.95%	**********	
7	Administrators Faculty Professionals	1,331 5,838 4,181	114 325 339	1,207 5,226 3,725	10 287 117	8.56% 5.57% 8.11%		

NOTE: Employment date for Tennessee Board of Regents institutions are based upon October revised budgets. UT data as of October 1, 1990. Únrestricted full-time employment data have been included for 1990. For UT fall 1988 and 1989 data included all employees. Refinement based upon 1986 projections. If met, college-going desparity will have been addressed.

Includes UTSI.

Beginning fall 1987 at TSU, reclassification of some positions was made from administrators to professional. Other race here means black for all institutions except TSU and SSCC. For TSU and SSCC it means white.